Case 2:08-mj-00275-MHB Document 29 Filed 07/23/08 P

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

JUL 2 3 2008

COPY

CLERK US DISTRICT COURT

BY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

WO

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	Jorge .	<u>Abel Po</u>	<u>tela-Perez</u>	C	ase Number:	<u>08-275M-5</u>
	ordance tablished		il Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § Check one or both, as applicable		ntion hearing has	been held. I conclude that the following facts
	•	ar and conv	•	dant is a dange	er to the commun	ity and require the detention of the defendant
		eponderan this case.			_	require the detention of the defendant pending
			PA	RT I FINDIN	GS OF FACT	
	(1)	The defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is				
		□ a	crime of violence as defin	ned in 18 U.S.C	. § 3156(a)(4).	
		□ a	n offense for which the ma	aximum senten	ce is life imprison	ment or death.
		□ a	n offense for which a max	imum term of ir	mprisonment of te	en years or more is prescribed in
		_ a	felony that was committed escribed in 18 U.S.C. § 31	d after the defer	ndant had been c , or comparable s	onvicted of two or more prior federal offenses state or local offenses.
	(2)		use described in finding 1 vocal offense.	was committed	while the defend	ant was on release pending trial for a federal,
	(3)	A period imprison	of not more than five yea nent) for the offense desc	ars has elapsed ribed in finding	since the (date 1.	of conviction)(release of the defendant from
	(4)	reasonab	Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establ ly assure the safety of (an this presumption.	lish a rebuttable)other person(s	presumption that and the commu	t no condition or combination of conditions will unity. I further find that the defendant has not
				Alternative F	indings	
$oldsymbol{ abla}$	(1)	There is	probable cause to believe	that the defend	ant has committe	d an offense
		∀ f	or which a maximum term	of imprisonmer	nt of ten years or	more is prescribed in 250 384
/			nder 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)	•	•	3846
ď	(2)					finding 1 that no condition or combination of as required and the safety of the community.
				Alternative I	Findings	
	(1)		a serious risk that the defe arance of the defendant as		no condition or co	mbination of conditions will reasonably assure
	(2)	No condi	tion or combination of con-	ditions will reas	onably assure the	e safety of others and the community.
	(3)		a serious risk that the defective witness or juror).	ndant will (obstr	ruct or attempt to	obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate
	(4)					

¹Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

² Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

Case 2:08-mj-00275-MHB Document 29 Filed 07/23/08 Page 2 of 3

PART II -- WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)

Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court. The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calc to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States an substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
The defendant is not a citizen of the United States. The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally. If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Cu Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court. The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calc to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States an substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of		
The defendant is not a citizen of the United States. The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally. If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Cu Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court. The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calc to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States an substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of		
The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally. If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Cu Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court. The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calc to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States an substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of	(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:
If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Cu Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court. The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calc to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States an substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of		The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.
Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court. The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calc to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States an substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of	四	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.
The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calce to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States an substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of		If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Curenforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.
to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States an substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of		The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
 □ The defendant lives and works in Mexico. □ The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States an substantial family ties to Mexico. □ There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. □ The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. □ The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except: 		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calc to assure his/her future appearance.
The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States an substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of		The defendant has a prior criminal history.
substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of		The defendant lives and works in Mexico.
The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:		The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States an substantial family ties to Mexico.
The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:		There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.
The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of
In addition:	The c	defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:
In addition:		
	In add	dition:

time of the hearing in this matter.

Page 2 of 3

³ "The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing." 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the

Case 2:08-mj-00275-MHB Document 29 Filed 07/23/08 Page 3 of 3

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2005, Defendant shall have ten (10) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) will waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: 1-23-08

LAWRENCE O. ANDERSON United States Magistrate Judge